



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/863,516	05/24/2001	Nikhil M. Deshpande	P 279166 P11161	9874	
27496	7590 06/24/2005	·	EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP			HA, LEYNNA A		
SUITE 2800	725 S. FIGUEROA STREET SUITE 2800 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELI					
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	87 K	J			
		plication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Common		/863,516	DESHPANDE ET AL.		
Office Action Summar	Exa	aminer	Art Unit		
		YNNA T. HA	2135		
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears	on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this if the period for reply specified above is less than the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	AUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). communication. nirty (30) days, a reply within num statutory period will app r reply will, by statute, cause onths after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of thi ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on 25 March	<u>2005</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This action				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the p	ractice under Ex pa	rte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 17-2</u>	5, 27-28, and 30 is/a	are pending in the ap	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,11,1					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10,12-14,17-25,</u>	<u>27,28 and 30</u> is/are	rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected					
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or ele	ction requirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to I	by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is	/are: a)□ accepted	d or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl	uding the correction is	required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examir	ner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c a) All b) Some * c) None		rity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the pri	ority documents hav	ve been received.			
2. Certified copies of the pri	ority documents hav	e been received in A	Application No		
Copies of the certified co	pies of the priority d	ocuments have beer	received in this National Stage		
application from the Inter	•	, ,,			
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the	e certified copies no	received.		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi		Paper No	s)/Mail Date		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	49 or P10/SB/08)	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action S	Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050610		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/863,516

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 17-25, 27-28, and 30 have been amended and are pending.

Applicant has cancelled claims 6, 11, 15-16, 26, and 29.

- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 17-25, 27-28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- **3.** This is a Final rejection necessitate by new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 5, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Rautila (US 6,714,797).

As per claim 1:

Rautila discloses a method of providing location-based services to a wireless device using a hotspot access point, comprising:

establishing a connection between the wireless device and the hotspot access point; [COL.4, lines 45-48]

Application/Control Number: 09/863,516

Art Unit: 2135

providing information associated with the physical location of the hotspot access point to the wireless device; [COL.5, lines 3-6]

Page 3

making a hand-off of the wireless device to a second hotspot access point; and [COL.5, lines 20-21]

providing narrowed information to the wireless device associated with a physical location of the second hotspot access [COL.8, lines 27-31] point based upon the direction of travel of the wireless device. [COL.4, lines 48-59 and COL.5, lines 58-60]

Rautila discusses each hotspot network has at least one hotspot device (col.4, lines 48-49) and be able to determine the nearest locations of the hotspot network by using the user's starting location (col.8, lines 30-31).

Therefore, it is obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made for Rautila to include a second hotpot location wherein directing the user to the location where the product can be downloaded (col.5, lines 58-60) by transmitting the nearest locations within the hotspot network and having a list of hotspot locations to the user (col.6, lines 32-34).

As per claim 2:

Rautila discusses a method of claim 1, further comprising:

identifying the hotspot access point with which the wireless device is connected; and [COL.6, lines 47-49 and COL.8, lines 27-31]

determining information associated with the physical location of the access point using the identification of the hotspot access point in a look-up

Art Unit: 2135

database. [COL.6, lines 32-34 and COL.8, lines 60-61]

As per claim 5: See COL.4, lines 15-40; discussing the access point is a wireless LAN access point device.

As per claim 6: Cancelled

As per claim 22:

Rautila discloses computer program product including computer program code, the computer program code having instructions, which when executed cause a computer to:

establish a connection between the wireless device and the hotspot access point; [COL.4, lines 45-50 and COL.5, lines 3-6]

provide information associated with the physical location of the hotspot access point to the wireless device; [COL.7, lines 23-35 and COL.8, lines 27-34]

making a hand-off of the wireless device to a second hotspot access point; and [COL.5, lines 20-21]

providing the narrowed information associated with a physical location of the second hotspot access point based on the direction of travel of the wireless device. [COL.5, lines 58-60 and COL.8, lines 27-31]

Rautila discusses each hotspot network has at least one hotspot device (col.4, lines 48-49) and be able to determine the nearest locations of the hotspot network by using the user's starting location (col.8, lines 30-31).

Art Unit: 2135

Therefore, it is obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made for Rautila to include a second hotpot location wherein directing the user to the location where the product can be downloaded (col.5, lines 58-60) by transmitting the nearest locations within the hotspot network and having a list of hotspot locations to the user (col.6, lines 32-34).

As per claim 23:

Rautila discusses computer program product of claim 22, having instructions, which when executed cause a computer to:

identify the hotspot access point with which the wireless device is connected; and [COL.6, lines 47-49 and COL.8, lines 27-31]

determine information associated with the physical location of the access point using the identification of the hotspot access point in a look-up database.

[COL.6, lines 32-34 and COL.8, lines 60-61]

As per claim 24: See COL.6, lines 33-53 and COL.7, lines 11-12; discussing to provide security for the location-based services according to a mode of a plurality of modes of security, the mode of security having associated therewith routing identification information.

As per claim 26: Cancelled

Application/Control Number: 09/863,516

Art Unit: 2135

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3, 7-8, 10, 12-14, 17, 19-21, 27, and 30are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rautila (US 6,714,797) and in further view of Spies, et al. (US 6,230,269).

As per claim 3:

Rautila discloses providing security for the location-based services according to a mode of security of plurality of security modes, the mode having associated therewith routing identification information (COL.6, lines 33-38 and 47-53 and COL.8, lines 27-34). Rautila discusses directing a user to a hotspot location where the product to be downloaded at the order/location module and gaining access by a logon process (col.6, lines 1-2) and giving a unique order number to the user (col.6, lines 37-38) that identifies a electric shop and product(col.5, lines 50-60).

Spies, et al. teaches an authentication system for a distributed network having multiple clients and a server that enables a user to log on and verifies the identity of the user (col.1, lines 13-16) wherein the server can be a content

provider, web site, or an Internet service providers (col.3, lines 60-65) and the client can be a computer or a wireless device such as a laptop. Spies discusses the log on process includes user ID or user identification information which may consist of any of the following user name, PIN, serial number, etc. (col.4, lines 37-45).

Hence, it is obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include routing identification such as unique order number with user/device identification information of Spies within the logon process of Rautila because this information where the product is located and where the user is authorized to download according to the particular the hotspot network location.

As per claim 7:

Rautila discloses directing a user to a hotspot location where the product to be downloaded at the order/location module and gaining access by a logon process (col.6, lines 1-2) and giving a unique order number to the user (col.6, lines 37-38). Hence, it is obvious the logon process may include some kind of user/device identification information to identify the user to gain access to the hotspot and to the vendors/products available on the hotspot network (col.7, lines 10-60). However, Rautila fails to explain further the details of the logon process.

Spies, et al. teaches an authentication system for a distributed network having multiple clients and a server that enables a user to log on and verifies

the identity of the user (col.1, lines 13-16) wherein the server can be a content provider, web site, or an Internet service providers (col.3, lines 60-65) and the client can be a computer or a wireless device such as a laptop. Spies discusses the log on process includes user ID or user identification information which may consist of any of the following user name, PIN, serial number, etc. (col.4, lines 37-45).

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the user identification information of Spies with the logon process of Rautila because the user ID is the security feature that identifies the user to gain access to the hotspot network location.

As per claim 8:

Rautila discloses method of securing services provided through a hotspot access point, comprising:

establishing a connection between a wireless device and the hotspot access point; [COL.4, lines 45-50 and COL.5, lines 3-6]

determining user/device identification information associated with the wireless device; [COL.5, lines 57- COL.6, line 2]

identifying mode of security from a plurality of security modes for the services using the user/device identification information, the identified mode of security having associated therewith routing identification information; and [COL.6, lines 33-53]

Art Unit: 2135

providing the services [COL.7, lines 11-12] according to the identified mode of security using the routing identification information through the hotspot access point to the wireless device. [COL.7, lines 23-35 and COL.8, lines 27-34]

Rautila discloses directing a user to a hotspot location where the product to be downloaded at the order/location module and gaining access by a logon process (col.6, lines 1-2) and giving a unique order number to the user (col.6, lines 37-38). Hence, it is obvious the logon process may include some kind of user/device identification information to identify the user to gain access to the hotspot and to the vendors/products available on the hotspot network (col.7, lines 10-60), and routing identification such as unique order number identifies a electric shop (col.5, lines 50-60) and the product the user is authorized to download at the particular location (col.6, lines 37-53). However, Rautila fails to explain further the details of the logon process.

Spies, et al. teaches an authentication system for a distributed network having multiple clients and a server that enables a user to log on and verifies the identity of the user (col.1, lines 13-16) wherein the server can be a content provider, web site, or an Internet service providers (col.3, lines 60-65) and the client can be a computer or a wireless device such as a laptop. Spies discusses the log on process includes user ID or user identification information which may consist of any of the following user name, PIN, serial number, etc. (col.4, lines 37-45).

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the user identification information of Spies with the logon process of Rautila because the user ID is the security feature that identifies the user to gain access to the hotspot network location and products according to the user ID.

As per claim 10:

See COL.4, lines 40; discusses the plurality of security of modes includes private, public and personal mode.

As per claim 11: Cancelled

As per claim 12:

A method of billing services provided through a hotspot access point, comprising:

establishing a connection between a wireless device and the hotspot access point; [COL.4, lines 45-48]

determining user/device identification information associated with the wireless device; and [COL.5, lines 57- COL.6, line 2]

billing usage of the services through the access point by the wireless device according to a mode of billing identified by the user/device identification information, [COL.6, lines 9-19]

wherein the mode of billing business, public and personal modes, wherein the private mode includes billing a business entity other than an actual user of the wireless device or a service provider associated with the

wireless device or the actual user of the wireless device, the public mode includes billing the actual user of the wireless device, and the personal mode includes billing the service provider associated with the wireless device.

[COL.8, lines 16-22]

Rautila discloses directing a user to a hotspot location where the product to be downloaded at the order/location module and gaining access by a logon process (col.6, lines 1-2) and giving a unique order number to the user (col.6, lines 37-38). Hence, it is obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made the logon process may include some kind of user/device identification information to identify the user to gain access to the hotspot and to the vendors/products available on the hotspot network (col.7, lines 10-60). However, Rautila fails to explain further the details of the logon process.

Spies, et al. teaches an authentication system for a distributed network having multiple clients and a server that enables a user to log on and verifies the identity of the user (col.1, lines 13-16) wherein the server can be a content provider, web site, or an Internet service providers (col.3, lines 60-65) and the client can be a computer or a wireless device such as a laptop. Spies discusses the log on process includes user ID or user identification information which may consist of any of the following user name, PIN, serial number, etc. (col.4, lines 37-45).

Application/Control Number: 09/863,516

Art Unit: 2135

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the user identification information of Spies with the logon process of Rautila because the user ID is the security feature that identifies the user to gain access to the hotspot network location.

As per claim 13:

Rautila discloses directing a user to a hotspot location where the product to be downloaded at the order/location module and gaining access by a logon process (col.6, lines 1-2) and giving a unique order number to the user (col.6, lines 37-38). Hence, it is obvious the logon process may include some kind of user/device identification information to identify the user to gain access to the hotspot and to the vendors/products available on the hotspot network (col.7, lines 10-60). However, Rautila fails to explain further the details of the logon process.

Spies, et al. teaches an authentication system for a distributed network having multiple clients and a server that enables a user to log on and verifies the identity of the user (col.1, lines 13-16) wherein the server can be a content provider, web site, or an Internet service providers (col.3, lines 60-65) and the client can be a computer or a wireless device such as a laptop. Spies discusses the log on process includes user ID or user identification information which may consist of any of the following user name, PIN, serial number, etc. (col.4, lines 37-45).

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the user identification information of Spies with the logon process of Rautila because the user ID is the security feature that identifies the user to gain access to the hotspot network location. **As per claim 14:** See COL.6, lines 33-38 and 47-53 and COL.8, lines 27-34; discusses providing information associated with the physical location of the hotspot access point to the wireless device.

As per claim 15: Cancelled

As per claim 16: Cancelled

As per claim 17:

Rautila discloses a system of providing location-based services to a wireless device using a

hotspot access point, comprising:

the hotspot access point to establish a connection between the wireless device and a hotspot access point network; [COL.4, lines 45-48]

location-based services server to provide information associated with the physical location of the hotspot access point to the wireless device; and [COL.7, lines 28-38]

an authorization server to provide security for the location-based services [COL.5, lines 57- COL.6, line 2] according to a mode of security of a plurality of security modes [COL.7, lines 11-12], the mode of security having associated therewith routing identification information. [COL.6, lines 33-53]

Art Unit: 2135

Rautila discloses directing a user to a hotspot location where the product to be downloaded at the order/location module and gaining access by a logon process (col.6, lines 1-2) and giving a unique order number to the user (col.6, lines 37-38) that identifies a electric shop and product(col.5, lines 50-60).

Spies, et al. teaches an authentication system for a distributed network having multiple clients and a server that enables a user to log on and verifies the identity of the user (col.1, lines 13-16) wherein the server can be a content provider, web site, or an Internet service providers (col.3, lines 60-65) and the client can be a computer or a wireless device such as a laptop. Spies discusses the log on process includes user ID or user identification information which may consist of any of the following user name, PIN, serial number, etc. (col.4, lines 37-45).

Hence, it is obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include routing identification such as unique order number with user/device identification information of Spies within the logon process of Rautila because this information where the product is located and where the user is authorized to download according to the particular the hotspot network location.

As per claim 19: See COL.4, lines 15-40; discussing the access point is a wireless LAN access point device.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 20:

Rautila discusses the system of claim 15, wherein the location-based services server:

makes a hand-off of the wireless device to a second hotspot access point;

[COL.5, lines 20-21]

narrows the information provided to the wireless device with respect to the physical location of the second hotspot access point based upon the direction of travel of the wireless device; and [COL.4, lines 48-59 and COL.6, lines 32-34]

provides the narrowed information associated with the physical location of the second hotspot access point to the wireless device. [COL.5, lines 58-60 and COL.8, lines 27-31]

Rautila discusses each hotspot network has at least one hotspot device (col.4, lines 48-49) and be able to determine the nearest locations of the hotspot network by using the user's starting location (col.8, lines 30-31).

Therefore, it is obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made for Rautila to include a second hotpot location wherein directing the user to the location where the product can be downloaded (col.5, lines 58-60) by transmitting the nearest locations within the hotspot network and having a list of hotspot locations to the user (col.6, lines 32-34).

As per claim 21:

Art Unit: 2135

Rautila discloses providing security for the location-based services according to a mode of security of plurality of security modes, the mode having associated therewith routing identification information (COL.6, lines 33-38 and 47-53 and COL.8, lines 27-34). Rautila discusses directing a user to a hotspot location where the product to be downloaded at the order/location module and gaining access by a logon process (col.6, lines 1-2) and giving a unique order number to the user (col.6, lines 37-38) that identifies a electric shop and product(col.5, lines 50-60).

Spies, et al. teaches an authentication system for a distributed network having multiple clients and a server that enables a user to log on and verifies the identity of the user (col.1, lines 13-16) wherein the server can be a content provider, web site, or an Internet service providers (col.3, lines 60-65) and the client can be a computer or a wireless device such as a laptop. Spies discusses the log on process includes user ID or user identification information which may consist of any of the following user name, PIN, serial number, etc. (col.4, lines 37-45).

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the user identification information of Spies with the logon process of Rautila because the user ID is the security feature that identifies the user to gain access to the hotspot network location.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 27:

Rautila discloses computer program product including computer program code having instructions, which when executed cause a computer to:

establish a connection between a wireless device and the hotspot access point; [COL.4, lines 45-50 and COL.5, lines 3-6]

determine user/device identification information associated with the wireless device; [COL.5, lines 10-21]

identify a mode of security of a plurality of modes of security [COL.7, lines 11-12] for the services using the user/device identification information, the mode of security having associated therewith routing identification information; and [COL.6, lines 33-53]

providing the services according to the mode of security using the routing identification information through the hotspot access point to the wireless device. [COL.7, lines 23-35 and COL.8, lines 27-34]

Rautila discloses directing a user to a hotspot location where the product to be downloaded at the order/location module and gaining access by a logon process (col.6, lines 1-2) and giving a unique order number to the user (col.6, lines 37-38). Hence, it is obvious the logon process may include some kind of user/device identification information to identify the user to gain access to the hotspot and to the vendors/products available on the hotspot network (col.7, lines 10-60), and routing identification such as unique order number identifies a electric shop (col.5, lines 50-60) and the product the user is authorized to

Art Unit: 2135

download at the particular location (col.6, lines 37-53). However, Rautila fails

to explain further the details of the logon process.

Spies, et al. teaches an authentication system for a distributed network

having multiple clients and a server that enables a user to log on and verifies

the identity of the user (col. 1, lines 13-16) wherein the server can be a content

provider, web site, or an Internet service providers (col.3, lines 60-65) and the

client can be a computer or a wireless device such as a laptop. Spies discusses

the log on process includes user ID or user identification information which

may consist of any of the following user name, PIN, serial number, etc. (col.4,

lines 37-45).

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention was made to combine the user identification information

of Spies with the logon process of Rautila because the user ID is the security

feature that identifies the user to gain access to the hotspot network location

and products according to the user ID.

As per claim 29: Cancelled

As per claim 30:

Rautila discloses computer program product including computer program code,

the computer program code having instructions, which when executed cause a

computer to:

establishing a connection between a wireless device and the hotspot

access point; [COL.4, lines 45-48]

Art Unit: 2135

determining user/device identification information associated with the wireless device; and [COL.5, lines 57- COL.6, line 2]

billing usage of the services through the access point by the wireless device according to a mode of billing identified by the user/device identification information, [COL.6, lines 9-19]

wherein the mode of billing business, public and personal modes, wherein the private mode includes billing a business entity other than an actual user of the wireless device or a service provider associated with the wireless device or the actual user of the wireless device, the public mode includes billing the actual user of the wireless device, and the personal mode includes billing the service provider associated with the wireless device.

[COL.8, lines 16-22]

Rautila discloses directing a user to a hotspot location where the product to be downloaded at the order/location module and gaining access by a logon process (col.6, lines 1-2) and giving a unique order number to the user (col.6, lines 37-38). Hence, it is obvious the logon process may include some kind of user/device identification information to identify the user to gain access to the hotspot and to the vendors/products available on the hotspot network (col.7, lines 10-60). However, Rautila fails to explain further the details of the logon process.

Spies, et al. teaches an authentication system for a distributed network having multiple clients and a server that enables a user to log on and verifies

Art Unit: 2135

the identity of the user (col.1, lines 13-16) wherein the server can be a content provider, web site, or an Internet service providers (col.3, lines 60-65) and the client can be a computer or a wireless device such as a laptop. Spies discusses the log on process includes user ID or user identification information which may consist of any of the following user name, PIN, serial number, etc. (col.4, lines 37-45).

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the user identification information of Spies with the logon process of Rautila because the user ID is the security feature that identifies the user to gain access to the hotspot network location.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4, 9, 18, 25, and 28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rautila (US 6,714,797), and further in view of Microsoft Computer Dictionary, 5th Edition.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 4:

Rautila discloses a method of providing location-based services to a wireless device using a hotspot access point, comprising establishing a connection between the wireless device and the hotspot access point [COL.4, lines 45-50 and COL.5, lines 3-6] and providing information associated with the physical location of the hotspot access point to the wireless device [COL.5, lines 20-21 and COL.8, lines 27-31]. However, Rautila fails to include the routing identification information is an IP address.

According to the Microsoft Computer Dictionary, IP address identifies a host (computer). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of the IP address of the Microsoft Dictionary with Raulita as a routing identification because the address uniquely identifies a computer connected to the Internet to other Internet hosts [pg.287]

As per claim 9:

Rautila discloses a method of providing location-based services to a wireless device using a hotspot access point, comprising establishing a connection between the wireless device and the hotspot access point [COL.4, lines 45-50 and COL.5, lines 3-6] and providing information associated with the physical location of the hotspot access point to the wireless device [COL.5, lines 20-21 and COL.8, lines 27-31]. However, Rautila fails to include the routing identification information is an IP address.

Art Unit: 2135

According to the Microsoft Computer Dictionary, IP address identifies a host (computer). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of the IP address of the Microsoft Dictionary with Rautila as a routing identification because the address uniquely identifies a computer connected to the Internet to other Internet hosts [pg.287]

As per claim 18:

Rautila discloses a method of providing location-based services to a wireless device using a hotspot access point, comprising establishing a connection between the wireless device and the hotspot access point [COL.4, lines 45-50 and COL.5, lines 3-6] and providing information associated with the physical location of the hotspot access point to the wireless device [COL.5, lines 20-21 and COL.8, lines 27-31]. However, Rautila fails to include the routing identification information is an IP address.

According to the Microsoft Computer Dictionary, IP address identifies a host (computer). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of the IP address of the Microsoft Dictionary with Raulita as a routing identification because the address uniquely identifies a computer connected to the Internet to other Internet hosts [pg.287]

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 25:

Rautila discloses a method of providing location-based services to a wireless device using a hotspot access point, comprising establishing a connection between the wireless device and the hotspot access point [COL.4, lines 45-50 and COL.5, lines 3-6] and providing information associated with the physical location of the hotspot access point to the wireless device [COL.5, lines 20-21 and COL.8, lines 27-31]. However, Rautila fails to include the routing identification information is an IP address.

According to the Microsoft Computer Dictionary, IP address identifies a host (computer). It would have been obvious to combine the teaching of the IP address of the Microsoft Dictionary with Raulita as a routing identification because the address uniquely identifies a computer connected to the Internet to other Internet hosts [pg.287]

As per claim 28:

Rautila discloses a method of providing location-based services to a wireless device using a hotspot access point, comprising establishing a connection between the wireless device and the hotspot access point [COL.4, lines 45-50 and COL.5, lines 3-6] and providing information associated with the physical location of the hotspot access point to the wireless device [COL.5, lines 20-21 and COL.8, lines 27-31]. However, Rautila fails to include the routing identification information is an IP address.

According to the Microsoft Computer Dictionary, IP address identifies a host (computer). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of the IP address of the Microsoft Dictionary with Raulita as a routing identification because the address uniquely identifies a computer connected to the Internet to other Internet hosts [pg.287]

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2135

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (571) 272-3851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LHa

SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100